Available online <u>www.jocpr.com</u>

Journal of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Research, 2024, 16(7):1-4



Short Communication

ISSN: 0975-7384 CODEN (USA): JCPRC5

Quinoline Derivatives Containing Substituted Piperazine Moieties as Potential Anti-Breast Cancer Agents

Muthiah Gnana Ruba Priya^{1*}, Viswas Raja Solomon², Nagarajan Hemavathy³, Jeyaraman Jeyakanthan³, Dileep Kumar^{4,5}, Jahnavi Mahesh⁵

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Dayananda Sagar University, Bengaluru, India

²Department of Medicinal Chemistry, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Sangareddy, India

³Department of Bioinformatics, University of Alagappa, Karaikudi, India

⁴Department of Entomology, University of California, Davis, USA

⁵Department of Pharmacy, Bharati Vidyapeeth University, Pune, India

Received: 15-Jul-2024, Manuscript No. JOCPR-24-141767; **Editor assigned:** 18-Jul-2024, PreQC No. JOCPR-24-141767 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 01-Aug-2024, QC No. JOCPR-24-141767; **Revised:** 08-Aug-2024, Manuscript No. JOCPR-24-14167 (R); **Published:** 15-Aug-2024, DOI:10.37532/0975-7384.2024.16(7).171

ABSTRACT

Quinoline derivatives have been found to be promising candidates for breast cancer treatment. In this study, we focus on synthesizing new quinolines with substituted piperazine moieties to target EGFR (Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor), an important therapeutic target in breast cancer therapy. The synthesized quinoline compounds (8a-8i) were characterized by Infrared (IR), Mass Spectrometry (MSS), and Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. The molecular docking studies indicated that the syntheses have a strong binding affinity towards the EGF receptor target site, with compound 8i exhibiting the highest binding affinity. This study highlights the potential of quinoline derivatives with substituting piperazines as potential anti-breast cancer agents.

Keywords: Quinoline; EGFR; Breast cancer

Copyright: © 2024 Priya MGR, et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

DESCRIPTION

Quinoline derivatives are recognized for their medicinal properties, especially in cancer treatment. However, issues such as drug resistance and toxicity limit their therapeutic use [1]. Quinoline-containing compounds have displayed an impressive array of biological properties over the years. The study focuses on synthesizing new quinoline derivatives with substituted piperazine moieties to target EGFR (Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor), an important therapeutic target in breast cancer treatment [2-6]. EGFR inhibitors are known to play a significant role in controlling cancer cell proliferation. Quinoline is classified as a heterocyclic molecule since it has two neighboring carbon atoms fused to an arene in its double-ring structure have a wide range of pharmacological activities like anti-bacterial, anti-fungal, antimalarial, anti-leishmanial and anticancer [7,8]. In recent research, it was shown that quinoline and its derivatives can inhibit tyrosine kinase, topoisomerase and DHODH kinase. Quinoline derivatives are increasingly used as anticancer drugs in pharmaceutical chemistry. The study employs a multi-faceted approach:

Synthesis and structural elucidation

The novel quinoline derivatives (8a-8i) were synthesized and their structures confirmed using IR, MASS, and NMR spectroscopy [9].

Molecular docking

Docking studies were performed to evaluate the binding affinity of the synthesized compounds at the ATP binding site of EGFR. Compounds showed promising docking scores and MM/GBSA energy values [10]. Subsequently, the newly identified synthesized compounds (8a-8i) in this study that were biologically assessed for their inhibitory action against EGFR kinases were subjected to SP-docking using the Glide module of the Schrodinger Suite (Schrodinger Release2023-2: Glide, Schrodinger, LLC, New York, NY, 2021.

In vitro evaluation

The MTT test technique evaluated the chemically produced compounds *in vitro* cytotoxicity [11]. The colorimetric MTT metabolic activity test was utilized to assess cell viability against the MCF7 breast cancer and MCF12A normal epithelial cell lines [12-14]. The anticancer activity was tested against MCF-7 breast cancer cell lines. The EGFR-TK enzyme inhibition was assessed, with compound 8i showing the highest inhibition rate at 87.5%. The response parameter was determined by calculating the IC50 values, or the concentration needed to lower cell lifespan by 50% [15,16].

In vivo study

The efficacy of compound 8i was further tested in a DMBA-induced rat model, confirming its potential as an antibreast cancer agent. They were conducted on 6 healthy female wistar rats [17]. This study's foundation was provided by acute toxicity tests, which showed the drug's great therapeutic efficacy, non-toxic makeup, capacity to offset. Different substances cause oxidative stress and inflammation in rats. The molecular docking studies indicated that the synthesized quinoline derivatives have a strong binding affinity towards the EGFR target site, with compound 8i exhibiting the highest binding affinity [18,19]. The *in vitro* studies supported these findings, showing that compound 8i effectively inhibits the EGFR-TK enzyme, thus preventing cancer cell proliferation. *In vivo* studies reinforced the potential of compound 8i, demonstrating significant anti-breast cancer activity in the DMBA-induced rat model. The electron-donating groups in compound 8i are suggested to enhance its interaction with biological targets, contributing to its efficacy [20].

CONCLUSION

This study highlights the potential of quinoline derivatives with substituted piperazine moieties as promising candidates for breast cancer treatment. Compound 8i, in particular, shows substantial promise due to its high binding affinity, significant EGFR-TK inhibition and effective anti-breast cancer activity in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* models. Further research and clinical trials are warranted to explore its full potential and therapeutic applicability. Hence, the primary objective of this study was to synthesize substituted 5-(4-chloroquinolin-3-yl)-methylidene)-2-(piperazin-1-yl)-1,3-thiazol-4(5H)-ones, denoted as 8a-i. The chemical structures of these compounds were analyzed using IR, Mass Spectrum, and proton NMR spectroscopy. Since the EGFR pathway has been linked to the development and spread of several malignancies, including breast cancer, we used a chemical technique in DMBA-induced models of breast cancer to examine compound 8i's effects on the EGFR pathway. Overall, the research indicates the viability of using chemical 8i as a focused treatment approach for breast cancer.

IMPLICATIONS

The findings of this study provide a valuable foundation for the development of more effective quinoline-based anticancer agents. By targeting EGFR with high specificity, these compounds could offer improved treatment outcomes with reduced side effects, addressing a critical need in breast cancer therapy.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We extend our sincere gratitude to the College of Pharmaceutical Sciences at Dayananda Sagar University, Karnataka, India, for their support in our research, which was sponsored by DSU.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Citation: Priya MGR, et al. 2024. Quinoline Derivatives Containing Substituted Piperazine Moieties as Potential Anti-Breast Cancer Agents. J. Chem Pharm. Res. 16:171. Priya MGR, et al. J. Chem. Pharm. Res., 2024, 16(7):1-4

REFERENCES

- [1] Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, et al. CA Cancer J Clin. 2021;71(3):209-249.
- [2] Giri VN, Knudsen KE, Kelly WK, et al. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(4):414-424.
- [3] Rudolph A, Chang-Claude J, Schmidt MK. Br J Cancer. 2016;114(2):125-133.
- [4] Choi YJ, Myung SK, Lee JH. Cancer Res Treat. 2018;50(2):474-487.
- [5] Zhao Y, Jian W, Gao W, et al. *Cancer Cell Int.* 2013;13(1):23.
- [6] Wroblewski LE, Peek Jr RM, Wilson KT. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2010;23(4):713-739.
- [7] Chan CK, Aimagambetova G, Ukybassova T, et al. J Oncol. 2019;2019(1):3257939.
- [8] Montella L, Sarno F, Ambrosino A, et al. Cells. 2021;10(8):1909.
- [9] Tabassum R, Ashfaq M, Oku H. Mini Rev Med Chem. 2021;21(10):1152-1172.
- [10] Vu T, Claret FX. Front Oncol. 2012;2:62.
- [11] Motzer RJ, Escudier B, Gannon A, et al. Oncologist. 2017;22(1):41-52.
- [12] Ranjbar-Karimi R, Poorfreidoni A. Drug Res. 2018;68(01):17-22.
- [13] Hamama WS, Ibrahim ME, Gooda AA, et al. J Heterocycl Chem. 2018;55(11):2623-2634.
- [14] Kalaria PN, Karad SC, Raval DK. Eur J Med Chem. 2018;158:917-936.
- [15] Upadhyay A, Chandrakar P, Gupta S, et al. J Med Chem. 2019;62(11):5655-5671.
- [16] Jain S, Chandra V, Jain PK, et al. Arab J Chem. 2019;12(8):4920-4946.
- [17] Karnik KS, Sarkate AP, Tiwari SV, et al. Bioorg Chem. 2021;115:105226.
- [18] Elbadawi MM, Eldehna WM, Wang W, et al. Eur J Med Chem. 2021;215:113261.
- [19] Parikh P, Ghate M, Vyas VK. Med Chem Res 2015;24:4078-4092.
- [20] Guardiola S, Varese M, Sanchez-Navarro M, et al. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2019;40(12):941-955.